



The strong case for climate change alarmism being a hoax!!!

We will continue to provide climate reality information that will ensure that the subjugated climate science gets reported correctly as we engineer a better communication pathway to our political decision makers so that we can far better support our future national industrial capability and prosperity.

We must avoid the trap of non-scientific religious entrapment generated by the so-called climate consensus now under reset by our new scientific organizations.

Expect more of the truth on climate change to be exposed as we pursue reality in our national politics.

This is an extract from a newspaper in Canada (National Post... Conrad Black 27 Apr 2024)

A brief filed with the court of appeals in The Hague in November by three eminent, American climate-related academics, Richard Lindzen of MIT, William Happer of Princeton, and Steven Koonin of New York University, the Hoover Institute, and former climate adviser to President Obama, challenged the finding of a lower court and held that scientific analysis, as opposed to an aggregation of "government opinion, consensus, peer review, and cherry-picked or falsified data," shows that "Fossil fuels and CO2 will NOT cause dangerous climate change, there will be disastrous consequences for people worldwide if fossil fuels in CO2 emissions are reduced to net zero, including mass starvation."

They assert that the poor, future generations, and the entire West will suffer profoundly from any such policy. which "will undermine human rights and cripple the realization of the first three UN sustainable development goals — no poverty, zero hunger, and good health and well-being."

The three experts warn against equating "the state of climate science with the reports of the Inter governmental Panel on Climate Change," which "have no value as science, because the IPCC is government-controlled and represents only government opinions, not science." It also denounced the lower court verdict that "dangerous climate change and extreme weather are caused by CO2 emissions from fossil fuels ... We demonstrate that these conclusions are contradicted by the scientific method, and only supported by the unscientific methods mentioned.

Hundreds of research papers confirm the highly beneficial effects of the increased concentration of atmospheric CO2, especially in dry farming areas."

They go on to represent the CO2 as essential to food, and thus to life on earth, and that the more there is of CO2, the more food there will be, especially in drought-stricken areas. They also make the case that greenhouse gases prevent us from freezing to death, that there are "enormous social benefits to fossil fuels and that net zero will expand human starvation by eliminating nitrogen fertilizer."

This highly recondite and meticulously documented paper states that "600 million years of carbon dioxide in temperature data contradict the theory of catastrophic global warming being caused by high levels of CO2, and that the atmospheric CO2 is now heavily saturated, which means that more will have little warming effect." Up until recently, the zealots pretended that such opinions are held only by the uninformed, or the paid lobbyists of the oil industry, but they are not going to be able to get away with this much longer. The ranks of the critics are swelling every week with aggrieved members of the voting public distressed by completely unnecessary skyrocketing costs generated by the fearmongering climate zealots.

With any luck, the tide of logical evidence will wash away the climate lunatics of this country before the damage becomes irreparable.

The response paper submitted to the court...

PDF Render | Friends of Science

https://friendsofscience.org/pdf-render.html?page=2954